WEP
Warwick
Evans
Publishing

Transactions on Engineering and Technology Research
ISSN: 2960-1797, eISSN: 2960-2246 | Volume 5
ACEGE 2025

# Exploring the Development Status and Prospects of 3D Printed Construction Technology

Yumin Wu *

Environmental Design, Ontario College of Art & Design University, Ontario, M5T 1W1, Canada

* Corresponding Author Email: Yuminw@ocadu.ca

**Abstract.** Environmental, economic, and social pressures on the construction industry make it paradigm-shifting. The traditional construction methods are undergoing mounting problems in terms of resource scarcity, excessive energy use, and the generation of waste, which are demanding solutions. The history and future of 3D printed construction technology (C3DP) are of interest to this paper, as the technology has become a disruptive technology that can transform the art of architecture and construction. The technical concepts, case studies, and the difficulties of regulation are reviewed through the qualitative literature analysis. As evidenced by two case studies, the Milestone Project of the Netherlands and the Chicon House of the United States, C3DP can cause housing to be cheaper, faster, and more sustainable, and the issue of partial automation, long-term resistance, and code standardization has been identified. C3DP has been discovered to have colossal advantages concerning planability, productivity of projects, as well as environmental friendliness, and its extensive use requires homogeneity of materials, regulatory flexibility, and economic sustainability. Of course, at present, 3D printed buildings are still in the transitional stages. Nevertheless, it incorporates digital technologies, materials with low carbon, and global housing programs, which will be an opportunity for a more sustainable and innovative future of the construction industry.

**Keywords:** Additive manufacturing; sustainable architecture; construction technology; housing innovation 3D printed construction.

## 1. Introduction

It is a revolution that has experienced a massive transformation in the construction industry in recent times, and environmental, economic, and social pressure is on the rise. The pre-modern mode of construction is getting overstretched because it consumes excessive resources, generates excessive waste, and is founded on the use of energy. At the same time, housing and infrastructural demand in the world is growing, and environmental policy is getting more limited and individual in architectural designs. At this point, the introduction of the 3D printing technology or additive manufacturing has been invented as a disruptive technology that has the capacity to disrupt building design and construction [1]. This study is applicable to this research since it takes into account the implementation of 3D printed construction (C3DP) to address the following acute problems: sustainability, cost-effectiveness, and efficiency, and provides new opportunities in the field of creative design. C3DP cannot be merely described as a technical invention, but a radically new paradigm that can lead to waste reduction, shortened construction schedule, and the ability to tailor designs in a mass manufacturing manner that is not possible under the old design. This is because it has introduced new materials, robots, and computerized design systems, and 3D printing will be one of the most essential tools of sustainable construction [2]. The future and present of C3DP are of special concern to the present paper. It discusses the key technical concepts such as material rheology, extrusion systems, and Building Information Modelling (BIM) integration [1,3]. Moreover, it looks at the real-life examples relative to the case studies, such as the Milestone Project in the Netherlands and the Chicon House in the United States, that demonstrate the opportunities and limitations that were connected with the technological development [4,5]. The research is founded on the method of qualitative literature analysis, which is rather suitable to investigate the already existing knowledge, compare the case studies, and identify the trends in the adoption of the technologies. The plan will ease the systematic insight into the existing evolution and emphasize technical, regulatory, as well as social issues [6]. The primary aim of the study is to assess the maturity, advantages, and obstacles of 3D printed building and identify its potential in establishing a more sustainable, more efficient, and more innovative building sector

## 2. Research Content and Methodology

The paper will adopt a qualitative study design, whereby the technology, application, challenges, and prospects sequence will be followed in discussing the 3D printed construction with greater detail. In this discussion, it starts with the examination of the key concepts and technologies since additive manufacturing is presented in the process of translating the digital image into a real one with the help of extrusion systems. The rheology of the material, its curing, and its behaviour with the Building Information Modelling are given special attention [7]. It then discusses the state of technology development in the current situation, using the example of the Milestone Project that took place in the Netherlands, and the Chicon House that took place in the United States, and describes the findings of the regulations and the hybridity of the manual-automated processes of the work [8]. Based on this empirical fact, the study proceeds to a comparative analysis to give the benefits of 3D printed construction, which include lower prices, shorter timescales, and design flexibility, as well as resolving issues like unstable formulations of materials, unstandardized codes, equipment reliability, and uncertainty about its long-term stability [3]. Finally, the paper speculates on future development trends and general future usage, including environmentally friendly materials, AI-assisted design, and new applications in low-cost housing, infrastructure and space construction [9].

## 3. Literature Review

The second area of construction that has not been given a lot of scholarly consideration in the last decade is the introduction of 3D printing in construction, especially since the technology is capable of transforming the traditional manner of building houses. Firstly, the opportunities of additive manufacturing in architecture were emphasized, as it was possible to mill digital models on the actual ones with the help of automated extrusion devices [1]. This has observed the growing popularity of its use in housing and infrastructure projects after the change from experimental prototyping to large-scale uses.

The researchers have emphasized the sustainability potential of C3DP, particularly in waste minimization and reduction of material, and they have made design possibilities that may possess low energy consumption [2,6]. It is also reported that developing low-carbon materials is necessary to maximize those benefits to the environment because the application of mixes based on cement limits the environmental performance of 3D printing [3]. Moreover, the additive manufacturing enables flexibility and thus, customized and complicated geometries, which are often difficult to accomplish efficiently by conventional construction [4]. Nevertheless, there are also some crucial issues emphasized in the literature. The rheology of materials and curing behaviour have been challenging to standardize, resulting in uncertainty in structural performance [1,3].

The unavailability of global regulations and building codes that can be dependable in controlling printed structures is emphasized by other studies, and the majority of the case studies remain hybridized, involving manual labour and traditional approaches [5]. Economic studies also indicate that small and medium-sized companies may be unable to invest in equipment and training because the initial expenditure may be high. However, C3DP can save labour and time expenses and reduce the required time [6]. Overall, the literature points to the fact that although 3D printed construction has shown huge prospects, the comprehensive implementation of this technology will need advancements in material science, regulatory changes, and integrations in digital design ecosystems.

## 4. Case Analysis

### 4.1. Milestone Residential Project, Eindhoven, Netherlands

Eindhoven The Milestone Project is the first commercialization of 3D printed construction. The project was finished in 2021, and it is made of five residential units, four of which are already delivered and rented out, the first commercially inhabited community of its type. It is worth noting that the houses conformed fully to the Dutch code of construction, a measure of the revolution of introducing new technologies to achieve the peak of safety and functionality [10]. The project also had massive gantry printers that could extrude concrete in small layers to create free-form structural components. This was a specially formulated concrete mix that was designed to flow and fast-cure, which resolved the rheological issue of 3D printed concrete [5]. The natural boulders inspired the concept design that produced non-rectilinear shapes that were organic in nature and too expensive or not possible with traditional technologies. It is one of the advantages that additive forms give to design [3].

Eindhoven houses were also considered in terms of sustainability and digital integration. Printing components on-site also reduced a lot of construction waste, and the logistical expense of moving prefabricated modules was also reduced. Furthermore, the project highlighted the possibilities of using artificial intelligence and advanced digital procedures in an architectural practice and constructed a seamless bridge between the design and production [2]. Nonetheless, the project was not passed with ease. The fact that the non-printed sections of the structure, such as windows, doors, and finishes, would have to be fitted manually highlights the fact that complete automation in the construction process is still a dream. Moreover, preparing printers and material compositions requires time and skills, so the technology is not a plug-and-play device, but requires professional knowledge and interdisciplinary teamwork [5]. Overall, the Milestone Project proves the viability of C3DP and its current limitations. It displays itself as regulatory accepting, design innovative and sustainable, but weak in automation, scalability and material strength.

### 4.2. Chicon House, Austin, Texas, USA

The second milestone case in 3D printing in building construction is the Chicon House in Austin, Texas, constructed in 2018. It was an initiative by a construction technology company, ICON and a non-profit organization, New Story. It was 3D printed in 48 hours and cost 10000 dollars, a dramatic change compared to a normal 7-month building process and far more costly than a traditional house building in the United States [4]. The project's core was the proprietary Vulcan gantry-style printer of ICON, which deposited a specially made composite material known as lavacrete with concrete [2]. The material was required to possess sufficient compressive characteristics and strength, and be compatible with an extrusion-based printing process. There was also the automated delivery system of materials in the printer, which reduced the manual work required and increased the accuracy and dependability of the process.

However, similar to the Milestone Project, the Chicon House had to be manually finished on multiple parts of the building, including the roof, windows, and doors. This semi-automation demonstrates the phase of C3DP as a hybrid, where the printed structures will still need to be assembled using traditional construction methods. Nevertheless, the fact that the project was constructed quickly and at a relatively low cost made it a showcase of the concept to fulfil the immediate housing needs, particularly in cases of a disaster outbreak and low-income environments [10]. Chicon House had a symbolic meaning other than the technical. It demonstrated that additive manufacturing could extend beyond the experimental models up to the real world and could be employed to solve the global housing crisis [11]. The humanitarian promise of C3DP, which ICON and New Story achieved, centred on the affordability and quick accessibility of mass production to provide vulnerable groups with safe and dignified housing.

## 5. Discussion

### 5.1. Technical Challenges

Despite the success of C3DP when applied under high-profile projects like Milestone and Chicon, significant technical issues continue to impede the application of C3DP on a large scale. The fact that material formulations are unstable should be mentioned among the key issues. The concrete mixtures must be made to meet incompatible demands: they must be fluid enough to be extruded, yet they must gain enough strength in a very short time span that another layer can be applied. Changes in rheology can cause defects, deformation and decreased structural integrity [5]. Without standard materials and dependable supply chains, scalability is an unknown factor. Printing is not an easy process either. In the large-scale application, precision control is not easily maintained, leading to irregular structures or misalignment. Issues such as the nozzle can be blocked, the flow of the material can be interrupted, or the work can be halted due to the failure of the control system, and the project schedules can be threatened [7]. These technical uncertainties kill the faith in the predictability of C3DP compared to the traditional systems.

Another problem that has not been resolved is long-term stability. Although tests on printed concrete structures that are conducted over the short term have been promising, there is still a concern about the performance of these structures under sustained loads, environmental exposure, and maintenance cycles. Regulatory authorities might be unwilling to embrace the technology completely without a large amount of longitudinal data [9].

### 5.2. Regulatory and Standardisation Challenges

The lack of global standards for 3D printing of buildings also makes deployment more difficult. The existing building standards were designed based on the more conventional types of construction, and changing them to suit additive manufacturing would require considerable testing, certification, and regulatory creativity [12]. The success of such projects as Milestone in the Netherlands is promising, though not a rule but an exception [9]. Standardization issues also apply to the design processes. Combining Building Information Modelling (BIM) with printing processes is necessary to produce a smooth integration of automation. Still, the existing digital technologies cannot always be entirely integrated with extrusion-based fabrication [1].

### 5.3. Economic and Social Considerations

Economically, C3DP can save labour costs, shorten project completion time, and reduce material wastage. These benefits can, however, be compensated for by the initial investment in equipment, training, and research in material formulations. To numerous small and medium-sized companies, switching to C3DP is still too costly [8]. On the social side, the technology provokes the issues of labour displacement and the adaptation of the workforce. Although it lowers the number of jobs requiring manual labour, it also opens positions for skilled personnel in digital design, robotics, and materials science. To prevent social resistance and disruption of the labour market, the construction workforce will need to move towards adopting these new competencies [11].

### 5.4. Sustainability Prospects

The possibility of 3D printed construction to bring sustainability is one of the most convincing points in favour of this method of building. C3DP can make construction less ecologically footprintless by reducing waste produced and minimizing formwork, recycled or alternative materials [10]. Besides, the ability to create sophisticated geometries creates opportunities to use passive design approaches to enhance building energy efficiency. However, the environmental benefits do not automatically accrue. Cement is one of the major sources of carbon emissions because it is used to make concrete. The sustainability benefits of C3DP might have fewer gains without parallel innovations in low-carbon materials [12]. In this way, the basis of the alignment of 3D printing and climate goals will be material science research.

## 6. Conclusion

One of the most promising technologies in the building industry is 3D printed technology, which has developed rapidly in terms of its applications and uses, rather than being in the experimental or prototype stage. As examples of the way additive manufacturing can be used to provide habitable, regulation-compliant, and low-cost housing over much shorter construction timescales, the Milestone Project in Eindhoven and the Chicon House in Austin may be seen as clear illustrations of how additive manufacturing can be used. The illustrations indicate that speed and low cost are advantages of the technology; in addition, the technology can employ intricate building lines, minimize wastes, and integrate environmentally-friendly practices. These are added to show how C3DP will transform the freedom of design, environmental friendliness, and industry feasibility in general.

The technology is, however, in the transitional stage. Nonetheless, the most important ones are material consistency, durability of tests, alteration of regulations, and financial ability. These challenges must be grappled with until they are enforced on a large-scale business front. C3DP is not in a poor position (provided). In the establishment of a digital design making process, which is low-carbon and single-regulation, the case of defining its full potential will be discussed. Once they are developed and scaled, 3D printing will help the construction business enter the culture of sustainability, efficiency, and resiliency during the age of climate change due to the influence of urbanization. C3DP not only has the capacity to transform the manner in which buildings are made, which is resource efficient and mass-customized, but also replicates what can be accomplished in the 21st century by designers.

## References


[1] Rael R., San Fratello V. Printing architecture: Innovative recipes for 3D printing. Princeton Architectural Press, 2018.

[2] Wu C., Wu L., Shang G., Guo H. Application and research of 3D printing technology in the field of architecture. Proceedings of the 4th International Conference on Electron Device and Mechanical Engineering (ICEDME), IEEE, 2021: 71 – 74.

[3] 3D printed concrete for large-scale buildings: An overview of rheology, printing parameters, chemical admixtures, reinforcements, and economic and environmental prospects. Journal of Building Engineering, 2020, 32: 101833.

[4] 3dprintedhouse. 3dprintedhouse: Project – Project milestone. 2025. Available at: https://www.3dprintedhouse.nl/en/project-info/project-milestone.

[5] Saxton M. 3D-printed house in Austin, Texas. Rise, 2020. Available at: https://buildwithrise.com/stories/3d-printed-house-austin-texas.

[6] Teixeira J., Schaefer C.O., Rangel B., Maia L., Alves J.L. A road map to find in 3D printing a new design plasticity for construction – The state of the art. Frontiers of Architectural Research, 2023, 12 (2): 337 – 360.

[7] Sakin M., Kiroglu Y.C. 3D printing of buildings: Construction of the sustainable houses of the future by BIM. Energy Procedia, 2017, 134: 702 – 711.

[8] Takva Ç., Top S.M., Gökgöz B.İ., Gebel Ş., İlerisoy Z.Y., İlcan H., Şahmaran M. Applicability of 3D concrete printing technology in building construction with different architectural design decisions in housing. Journal of Building Engineering, 2024, 98: 111257.

[9] Systematic workflow for digital design and on-site 3D printing of large concrete structures: A full-size two-story building case study. Journal of Building Engineering, 2025, 104: 112370.

[10] Zhou Y. Application of 3D printing technology in the construction industry and its development prospects. ResearchGate, n.d. https://doi.org/10.2991/ahis.k.220601.002.

[11] Zhang X. Research progress on the application of 3D printing technology in large-scale buildings. Chinese Journal Service platform, n.d. Available at: https://cstj.cqvip.com/Qikan/Article/Detail?id=7000962322.

[12] 3D printing of buildings and building components as the future of sustainable construction? Procedia Engineering, 2016, 151: 292 – 299.